

*2026 Amarillo Research Symposium: Abstract Submission

<https://ttuhsc.infoready4.com/#competitionDetail/2003020>

SUBMISSION DETAILS:

Presentation Important Dates

Internal Submission Deadline:	Wednesday, February 25, 2026
Abstract Selection Notification:	Monday, March 23, 2026
Poster / Presentation Slide Submission Deadline:	Friday, March 27, 2026

Details

Administrator(s):	Audrey Deleon (Owner) Heather Grubb
Category:	Research
Cycle:	FY2026
Discipline Subject/Area:	Basic, Applied/Clinical, Case Report, Quality Improvement
Number of Applications Allowed per Applicant:	1

Description

Posters/Case Reports and Oral Presentations will be given at the [Amarillo Research Symposium](#) on Friday, April 10, 2026 during morning and afternoon sessions. Limit one abstract submission per student. Students will be assigned a session based on availability. *If your abstract is selected, your attendance at an assigned session is REQUIRED.*

Abstracts are due by midnight on February 25, 2026. By submitting an abstract, you acknowledge that you obtained IRB approval to conduct your research (if applicable). *DO

NOT upload any files for your abstract. Please follow the instructions above and insert your abstract in the 'Proposal Abstract' text box.

The body of the abstract should not exceed 250 words and must be typed in Times New Roman 12 point font. Abbreviations may be used in the body of an abstract if they are defined at their first mention in the text.

Each abstract should contain:

Research abstract-

1. Background: background information and purpose or aim of the study
2. Methods: brief description of study design, data collection methods, analytical methods
3. Results: description of key results from this study (the new, unpublished data)
4. Conclusion: summary, significance and/or implications

Case report abstract-

1. Introduction: background and introductory information
2. Case description: description of current case (history, exam, labs/studies, patient progress/outcome)
3. Discussion: discuss why decisions were made and extract the lessons from the case
4. Conclusion: one concluding sentence

Upon submission, a faculty panel will review abstracts to determine who will present at the Symposium.

We will notify all applicants of a decision in mid-March and you will have one week to upload your poster for printing. Oral presentation applicants will be emailed individually with more instructions.

An example of the Poster Scoring Rubric can be accessed at the link in the 'Details' section at the top. If selected, you will be sent a template to design your poster and have one week to upload for printing.

For questions email amarilloresearchsymposium@ttuhsc.edu.

Amarillo Research Symposium

Abstract Scoring Rubric for InfoReady

Reviewers will perform a peer review of all abstracts using the rubric below. Please review the rubric while preparing and before submitting your abstract. **250 words or less**

Criteria Information	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Absent (0)
Statement of the Problem or Question <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identification of the gap in knowledge or the problem in practice addressed by the QI project or Research study 	Clear, concise statement of gap/problem	Statement identifies the gap and knowledge but lacks clarity to the gap in knowledge or problem	Statement identifies a broad issue within healthcare	Unclear written statement	No statement of objectives
Methods/Process Procedure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rigorous methods identifying the design, sample, setting, data collection and analysis Discussion of implementation and actions for completing the project 	Clear description of precisely what was done; sufficient detail to provide evidence of logical consistency between the stated objectives and the approach	Description contains logical consistency between the stated objectives and approach	Description provides vague descriptor of what was done	Description methods inconsistent with stated objectives/aim	No description of what was done
Results/ Outcomes	Clear statement of evidence for findings or outcomes; logical relationship to problem or gap, objectives, and methods; logical interpretation of results	Findings or outcomes hold some relevance to the problem or gaps with brief amount of interpretation	Findings or outcomes hold relevance with no interpretation of results	Vague description of evidence for findings or outcomes	No evidence of the outcomes or findings
Significance	Innovative contribution to knowledge and/or practice	Strong contribution to knowledge and/or practice	Slight contribution to knowledge and/or practice	Contribution is questionable	No contribution to knowledge and/or practice
Quality of Abstract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Writing quality Quality references based on current evidence 	Clearly written with no spelling or grammar issues. References within past 5-7 years.	Clearly written with some spelling/ grammar mistakes. References within past 5-7 years.	Clearly written few spelling/ grammar mistakes with references over 5-7 years	Poorly written multiple spelling and grammar mistakes	So poorly written unable to understand meaning
Conclusion	Strong conclusion provides exceptional synthesis of project	Conclusion strongly stated, but does not synthesize project as well	Poor conclusion based on stated objectives, methods, and results	Conclusion not clearly stated	No conclusion or summary for project
Comments					