

# Amarillo Research Symposium

## Poster Scoring Rubric

Reviewers will perform a peer review of all posters using the rubric below. Please review the rubric while preparing and before submitting your scores.

	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Absent (0)
<b><u>Statement of the Problem or Question</u></b> -Identification of the gap in knowledge or the problem in practice addressed by the QI project or Research study	Clear, concise statement of gap/problem	Statement identifies the gap and knowledge but lacks clarity to the gap in knowledge or problem	Statement identifies a broad issue within healthcare	Unclear written statement	No statement of objectives
<b><u>Methods/Process Procedure</u></b> -Rigorous methods identifying the design, sample, setting, data collection and analysis - Discussion of implementation and actions for completing the project	Clear description of precisely what was done; sufficient detail to provide evidence of logical consistency between the stated objectives and the approach	Description contains logical consistency between the stated objectives and approach	Description provides vague descriptor of what was done	Description methods inconsistent with stated objectives/aim	No description of what was done
<b><u>Results/Outcomes</u></b>	Clear statement of evidence for findings or outcomes; logical relationship to problem or gap, objectives, and methods; logical interpretation of results	Findings or outcomes hold some relevance to the problem or gaps with brief amount of interpretation	Findings or outcomes hold relevance with no interpretation of results	Vague description of evidence for findings or outcomes	No evidence of the outcomes or findings
<b><u>Significance</u></b>	Innovative contribution to knowledge and/or practice	Strong contribution to knowledge and/or practice	Slight contribution to knowledge and/or practice	Contribution is questionable	No contribution to knowledge and/or practice
<b><u>Conclusion</u></b>	Strong conclusion provides exceptional synthesis of project	Conclusion strongly stated, but does not synthesize project as well	Poor conclusion based on stated objectives, methods, and results	Conclusion not clearly stated	No conclusion or summary for project
<b><u>Quality of Presentation</u></b> -Clarity of Speech -Quality references based on current evidence (DAY OF JUDGING ONLY)	Clearly spoken with no grammar mistakes; references within past 5-7 years	Clearly Spoken with few grammar mistakes; references within past 5-7 years	Clearly spoken with some grammar mistakes; references over 5-7 years	Poorly spoken with multiple grammar mistakes; references over 5-7 years	So poorly spoken the meaning is unable to be understood
<b><u>Comments:</u></b>					